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Organizations today face two major leadership issues: (1) an anticipated shortage of leaders, and (2) the increasing challenges of leadership in a global environment.

TOO FEW LEADERS, TOO MANY DEMANDS

For more than 25 years, prestigious business organizations have predicted or described a global shortage of leaders. These studies come from such respected sources as McKinsey & Company (Chambers, Foulon, Handfield-Jones, Hankin, & Michaels, 1998; Ghemawat, 2012; Gurdjian, Halbeisen, & Lane, 2014), Hay Group (Garoznik & Spreier, 2008), World Economic Forum (2015), and The Conference Board (2015). In the WEF Outlook on the Global Agenda 2015, lack of leadership ranked third in critical issues for participating organizations: 86 percent of respondents indicated “we have a leadership crisis in the world today.” More than 60 percent of companies suffer leadership shortages that harm their performance; nearly one-third expect the lack of talented leaders to further harm their performance going forward.

This shortage of leaders is fueled by a number of ongoing changes. Accelerating globalization of business in the 2010s continues to drive both a need for more leaders worldwide and the ongoing distribution of knowledge and skills throughout organizations. These changes follow on two decades of fewer managers, which has resulted from an aging managerial workforce, fewer middle managers remaining after the downsizing of the 1990s, and the continuing organizational flattening of the 2000s. These changes and others have resulted in a dramatic broadening of demands on the remaining leaders.

The explosion in organizational complexity over the past several decades has led to new challenges for leaders:

• The work being done is increasingly complex.
• Performance expectations are escalating, and people must manage with fewer resources and broader spans of control.
• The workforce is increasingly diverse and dispersed across time zones and frequently across continents.
• The pace of change continues to accelerate.
• Leadership increasingly requires the ability to influence others outside traditional hierarchical structures.

This all adds up to leadership being more demanding than ever before.

PERSONALITY TYPE AND LEADERSHIP

As leaders seek to engage others and inspire them to accomplish their organization’s objectives, they rely on a broad spectrum of abilities, such as

• Making good decisions in ambiguous conditions
• Communicating and pursuing a clear vision
• Building effective working relationships
• Making full use of each person’s abilities
• Being adaptable and open to change, and helping others do the same
• Tolerating, even inviting, healthy disagreements and conflict

Acquiring abilities such as these is a tall order. Luckily, leaders today have many resources available to aid their growth and development. Leadership development strategies often include the use of personality assessments and coaching, both to help identify leaders and to develop their capacities. One of the most powerful tools used for such development is the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator® (MBTI®) assessment.

More than 50 years of research looking for links between personality type and leadership has yielded one clear finding: There is no “perfect” type for leadership. While there are greater concentrations of certain types among leaders, there is ample and growing evidence that
FIGURE 2 | JUNG’S EIGHT MENTAL PATTERNS—FOUR INWARD, FOUR OUTWARD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perceiving Processes</th>
<th>Inward</th>
<th>Judging Processes</th>
<th>Perceiving Processes</th>
<th>Outward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inward</strong></td>
<td>SI Introverted Sensing</td>
<td>Ni Introverted Intuition</td>
<td>TI Introverted Thinking</td>
<td>Fi Introverted Feeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outward</strong></td>
<td>S extraverted Sensing</td>
<td>N extraverted Intuition</td>
<td>T extraverted Thinking</td>
<td>F extraverted Feeling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jung asserted that everyone uses all eight of these mental patterns, but with differing degrees of awareness and ease. Review Table 2 to understand what each of these patterns contributes to how you make sense of the world. You may want to take a few minutes to try the suggested activity for experiencing each pattern.

The Interaction Between Your Preferences

Your four-letter type describes a dynamic system, not a static picture. The interaction between your preferences plays an important role in how you express your type. For each type, two of the eight patterns described above take precedence over all the others. These are referred to as your favorite process, which Jung called the dominant function, and your second process, which Jung called the auxiliary function.

- **Favorite process.** This is the mental process you use most habitually. It is represented by one of the middle two letters of your four-letter type, along with a subscript letter, “e” or “i,” showing whether you use it outwardly (e) or inwardly (i).
- **Second process.** Your second process complements your favorite process. It is one of the perceiving processes (used in taking in information: S or N), your second process is a judging process (used in making decisions: T or F). Again, a subscript letter, “e” or “i,” identifies whether you use it outwardly (e) or inwardly (i).

Combined, your favorite and second processes indicate how you understand your world and make decisions.

The subscript letters ‘e’ and ‘i’ attached to your favorite and second processes also balance each other. If, as indicated by the first letter of your type, you prefer Introversion (I), your favorite process typically operates inwardly and is less visible to others. Your second process balances this by operating outwardly. If you prefer Extraversion (E), your favorite process typically is used outwardly, visible to and engaging the people and things around you, and your second process creates balance by being oriented inwardly.

This is a critical distinction in how type differences are expressed. People who prefer Extraversion express their favorite process outwardly, and others interact with that favorite process, which can feel like “what you see is what you get.” On the other hand, people who prefer Introversion keep their favorite process inside, expressing their second process outwardly, and others may sense that “still waters run deep.” This is one reason it is difficult to guess another person’s type preferences accurately.

Your third process, which Jung called the tertiary function, is the preference opposite your second process. For example, if your second process is Sensing (either extraverted or introverted), your third process will be Intuition. Your fourth, or least preferred, process is the preference opposite your favorite process. For example, if your favorite process is Feeling (either extraverted or introverted), your fourth process will be Thinking.

Figure 3, on page 10, shows the type dynamics of ESTJ to illustrate this structure more clearly. Notice that the subscript “e” (for Extraversion) or “i” (for Introversion) indicates the direction (outward or inward) in which that process is typically used.

Using your favorite and second processes is usually as easy as writing with your preferred hand and takes no more attention than that. Using your third and fourth processes is more like writing with your other hand. It definitely takes more energy and concentration, can be more tiring, and often turns out a bit shakier in terms of results. But you certainly can use these processes, and you’ve probably done so many times, even in the past few days.
I get so tied to my vision of the future that it’s hard to include others’ views. But I really value including these perspectives, so I convene a small subteam where I can really listen to the differences. Then I can recraft my vision into a more powerful one.

–INFJ leader in an international nongovernmental organization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets: INFJ leaders tend to...</th>
<th>Challenges: INFJ leaders tend to...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setting Direction</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiate direction based on internal inspiration or insights emerging from their strong sense of the right way to go rather than a well-reasoned argument</td>
<td>Complicate tasks by seeking significance when utility would be enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easily see and describe how the vision will benefit others</td>
<td>Underuse objective analysis, especially concerning time and money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decide quickly to get missing information they deem important</td>
<td>Struggle sometimes to articulate their vision so that others can see it as clearly as they do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide specific goals to be accomplished in order to achieve the vision</td>
<td>Feel driven to set a direction quickly, causing them to overlook conflicting information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inspiring Others to Follow</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspire and engage others with their passion for the direction</td>
<td>Fail to be directive enough when needed; be uncomfortable telling others what to do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be inclusive of many views in a way that honors the integrity of all</td>
<td>Struggle to separate their role as manager from their role as friend, leading to indirect or vague feedback on poor performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listen well and place high priority on maintaining good relationships</td>
<td>Fail to delegate effectively, robbing others of valuable stretch assignments that would help them grow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seek consensus, but not at the expense of closure</td>
<td>Fail to recognize political nuances and thus lose influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobilizing Accomplishment of Goals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display an optimism that is contagious, inspiring others to work hard for promised results</td>
<td>Get bored with implementation, especially when having to do tasks taken over from others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move quickly to action; make a great role model for others</td>
<td>Struggle to delegate tasks that they know don’t appeal to colleagues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be willing to tear apart the entire current system if it will make reaching the goal easier</td>
<td>Be uncomfortable with conflict and create team norms that value amicability over results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be practical in their actions, moving step-by-step to build on people’s commitment and passion</td>
<td>Signal tolerance for mediocre performance by not addressing it or giving only vague feedback</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expanding Your Leadership Mind-Set
In addition to guiding a team toward an enlightened vision, recognize that debate and overt arguments are part of a democratic approach that improves process and yields results. Tailoring systems to meet individual needs is valuable for gaining commitment, but organizational needs rightly take priority over individual concerns at times. Try seeing the organization as an entity that, when healthy, contributes to individual and global well-being. Such a view will help you advocate more strongly for your organization’s interests.

Imagine situations that would require directive leadership and exercising strong authority. Then be prepared to use such an approach when a situation in your organization requires a stronger voice than you may typically use.

Developing Additional Skills
Public speaking. Learn strong speaking skills to reach more people publicly with your view of the future.
Conflict facilitation. Become comfortable with having uncomfortable discussions in the group and then letting the group sort out the issues.

LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT PATH

Introverted Intuition (Ni). Take time to allow your vision to evolve. Support your creative needs by spending time alone while an idea germinates.
Extraverted Feeling (Fe). Balance the preceding idea with time spent sharing your ideas while you’re still developing them. This will allow others to contribute and can help you increase buy-in to the vision.

Thinking (T). Project what your vision will look like when you bring it to life, using analytic tools to model the results of putting your ideas into practice. Include others in identifying the pros and cons of various options to help you see potential gaps in the plan.
Extraverted Sensing (Se). Focus on reading the specifics of each situation in the moment using body sensations and staying focused on the present. You will pick up on cues that increase your political awareness and will also notice when you need to rest, exercise, or take time for yourself in order to reenergize and do your best work.

TIPS FOR COACHES AND MENTORS
Supporting INFJ Leaders
Appreciate them for their ability to distill the essence of complex issues and ideas in an accessible way; for their sincere inclusion of many views and perspectives; and for their commitment to global well-being.
Offer to help them with objective analysis that will improve a decision or direction; with translating goals for the organization into metrics for evaluating performance; and with ensuring that succession processes don’t give some people an unfair advantage over others.

Give them feedback on times when they assume they understand others’ feelings without verifying those assumptions; on when you see them avoiding confrontations that would move the dialogue forward; and on how they can become more comfortable with their power as a leader.